

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/677,344	10/02/2000	Balakrishnan Sridhar	327	3540	
7:	590 08/12/2003				
Ciena Corporation			EXAMINER		
Legal Department 1201 Winterson	n Rd		CUNNINGHAM, STEPHEN C		
Linthicum, MD	21090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663	3663	
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/677,344	SRIDHAR ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen C. Cunningham	3663
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
<ul><li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li><li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li></ul>	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	rerially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		
	r	MARK HELLNER MARY EXAMINER
·		Mark Hellin





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: (1) Paper 11 fails to meet the formal requirement that the affidavit be signed by all inventors, -unless there is a statement explaining that less than all of the inventors contributed to the claimed invention; -or that the other inventors are unavailable/dead. If the other inventors (who have not signed the affidavit) did not invent the claimed subject matter then their names must be removed from the application. If the other inventors (who have not signed the affidavit) are unavailable evidence must be submitted showing that attempts to contact the inventors have been made and unsuccessful. If the other inventors (who have not signed the affidavit) are dead, the office must be informed of the fact.

(2) Evidence submitted demonstrating prior invention of the instant invention fails to disclose the limitations as claimed. Specificall

the Taylor reference is used to reject the limitations -

Said control circuit including:

an attenuator offset value storage device operatively connected to said control circuit, said attenuator offset value storage devic storing an attenuator offset value;

said control circuit inputting the attenuator offset value from said attenuator offset value storage device and outputting the attenuation control signal in response to the input optical power, the dispersion compensating element loss value and the attenuator offset value.

The only reference to the limitations similar to the claimed limitation occurs in exhibit 1 (labeled "page 10") stating, "The attenuator offse level is stored internally and is defined as the attenuator offset." This statement makes no mention of the control circuit's interaction with the attenuator offset value storage device.